Bounds for the Hosoya Index

I. Gutman

Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Yugoslavia

and J. Cioslowski

Department of Chemistry, Georgetown University, Washington, D. C. 20057, USA

Z. Naturforsch. 42 a, 438-440 (1987); received January 20, 1987

Some inequalities for Hosoya's topological index are deduced, revealing its dependence on the structure of the carbon-atom sekeleton of a hydrocarbon molecule.

The quantity Z, introduced in 1971 by Hosoya [1], belongs among the best investigated topological indices [2]. It is defined in the following manner. If G is the molecular graph of a saturated hydrocarbon [3] and m(G, k) is the number of k-matchings of G, then

$$Z = Z(G) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} m(G, k)$$
 (1)

The summation ranges over all non-zero m(G, k) numbers. Recall that a k-matching of a graph G is a selection of k mutually nonadjacent edges in G [3, 4]. By definition, m(G, 0) = 1 for all graphs G. Furthermore, if m denotes the number of edges of G, then m(G, 1) = m.

In (1) the parameter p is the size of a maximal matching in G. In other words, $m(G, p) \neq 0$ whereas m(G, p+1) = 0.

There exists an extensive literature on the applications of Z to various chemical problems, especially in chemical thermodynamics [3, 5-11]. The dependence of Z on the structure of the molecular graph G is less investigated and the present paper will provide some of the first mathematical findings, relating Z(G) with simple invariants of G.

Our main result are the inequalities

$$Z \leq [1 + m/p + (p-1)^{1/2} T]$$

$$\cdot [1 + m/p - (p-1)^{-1/2} T]^{p-1}$$

$$\leq (1 + m/p)^{p}, \qquad (2)$$

Reprint requests to Professor Dr. Ivan Gutman, Faculty of Science, P.O. Box 60, YU-34000 Kragujevac, Yugoslavia.

where

$$T = (p d - m^2 - p m)^{1/2} p^{-1}$$
(3)

and d is the sum of the squares of the degrees of the vertices of the molecular graph G. The formulas (2) hold for $p \ge 1$.

If p > 2, then both inequalities in (2) are strict. If p = 2, then the l.h.s. relation becomes an equality. If p = 1, then both relations reduce to the identity Z = 1 + m.

The method used for deducing (2) is to a great extent analogous to the recently employed variational technique, by which an upper bound for total π -electron energy has been obtained [12]. Our starting point is the identity

$$\ln Z = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \ln \left(1 + y_i^2 \right), \tag{4}$$

where $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$ $(y_1 \ge y_2 \ge ... \ge y_p > 0 \ge y_{p+1} \ge ... \ge y_n)$ are the zeros of the matching polynomial [4] of the graph G:

$$\alpha(G, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} (-1)^{k} m(G, k) x^{n-2k}.$$
 (5)

Bearing in mind that [4, 13]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} y_i^2 = m \tag{6}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} y_i^4 = d - m \tag{7}$$

we may consider the expression

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \ln\left(1 + x_i^2\right) \tag{8}$$

0932-0784 / 87 / 0500-0438 \$ 01.30/0. – Please order a reprint rather than making your own copy.



Dieses Werk wurde im Jahr 2013 vom Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in Zusammenarbeit mit der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft zur Förderung der Wissenschaften e.V. digitalisiert und unter folgender Lizenz veröffentlicht: Creative Commons Namensnennung-Keine Bearbeitung 3.0 Deutschland Lizenz.

This work has been digitalized and published in 2013 by Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in cooperation with the Max Planck Society for the Advancement of Science under a Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs 3.0 Germany License.

and seek for its extremes, provided the conditions

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_i^4 = d - m \tag{9}$$

and/or

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_i^2 = m \tag{10}$$

are obeyed. Since the relations (9) and (10) are chosen so to fully match (7) and (6), respectively, the maximal value of (8) will be an upper bound of $\ln Z$ in (4). In (8)–(10) the quantities x_i are positive variational functions which must be distinguished from the zeros y_i of the matching polynomial (5).

We first determine the maximum of (8) under the constraint (10). According to the standard variational procedure we set

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \ln(1 + x_i^2) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{p} x_i^2$$

and require that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_j} = 0$$
 for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

This yields

$$2x_i(1+x_i^2)^{-1}+2\lambda x_i=0$$
,

i.e.

$$x_j = \sqrt{-1 - 1/\lambda} \ .$$

Combining this latter result with the condition (10) we find that

$$x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_p = \sqrt{m/p}$$
 (11)

Therefore the maximum of (8) is equal to $p \ln (1 + m/p)$, which immediately gives the r.h.s. expression in (2).

If, now, both the constraints (9) and (10) are taken into account, we arrive at

$$2 x_j (1 + x_j^2)^{-1} + 2 \lambda x_j + 4 \mu x_j^3 = 0,$$
i.e.

$$2 \mu x_j^4 + (2 \mu + \lambda) x_j^2 + (\lambda + 1) = 0.$$
 (12)

Suppose the roots of (12) are real, and denote the positive roots of (12) by a and b, a > b > 0. The case a = b must not occur since then we would have the case (11), which evidently violates the condition (9).

Then the solution of our variational problem can be written as

$$x_1 = x_2 = \cdots x_t = a , \qquad (13 a)$$

$$x_{t+1} = \dots = x_p = b , \qquad (13 b)$$

where t, $1 \le t < p$, remains still to be determined. Substituting (13) back into (9) and (10) we obtain the equations

$$t a^2 + (p - t) b^2 = m, (14)$$

$$t a^4 + (p-t) b^4 = d - m, (15)$$

which are fully analogous to (21) and (22) of [12]. The stationary points of (8) are evidently given by

$$t \ln (1 + a^2) + (p - t) \ln (1 + b^2),$$
 (16)

which we shall denote by $\ln Z(t)$, that is

$$Z(t) = (1+a^2)^t (1+b^2)^{p-t}.$$
 (17)

Straightforward calculation gives

$$a = a(t) = [m/p + (p-t)^{1/2} t^{-1/2} T]^{1/2},$$
 (18a)

$$b = b(t) = [m/p - t^{1/2}(p-t)^{-1/2}T]^{1/2},$$
 (18b)

and it is easy to show that a(t) is real for all t, $1 \le t < p$, whereas b(t) is real for

$$1 \le t \le \lfloor m^2/(d-m) \rfloor$$
.

The parameter T in (3) is necessarily real. In order to see this, notice that, since the mean value of the squares is never smaller than the square of the mean value.

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} x_i^4 \ge \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} x_i^2\right)^2.$$

Bearing in mind (9) and (10) we get

$$(d-m)/p \ge (m/p)^2$$

from which

$$p d - m^2 - p m \ge 0$$
.

Therefore the r. h. s. of (3) must be real.

The above analysis justifies the previous assumption that the roots of (12) are real numbers.

We now demonstrate that Z(t) is a monotonously decreasing function of t. In order to do this we differentiate (14), (15) and (16) with respect to t and (in full analogy to (29) of [12]) obtain for the

first derivative of $\ln Z(t)$:

$$[\ln Z(t)]' = f(x_0); \quad x_0 = (1+a^2)/(1+b^2),$$

where

$$f(x) = \ln x - x/2 + (2x)^{-1}$$
.

It is evident that for x = 1, f(x) = 0. On the other hand, $f'(x) = -(x-1)^2 (2x^2)^{-1}$, from which follows that f(x) is monotonously decreasing. Therefore f(x) is negative for all x > 1. Since $(a^2 + 1)/(b^2 + 1)$ is necessarily greater than unity, $f(x_0)$ must be negative. Hence, $[\ln Z(t)]'$ is negative. This means that $\ln Z(t)$ and therefore also Z(t) monotonically decrease with increasing t,

$$1 \le t \le [m^2/(d-m)].$$

As a consequence of the above result, Z(t), t = 1 is an upper bound for the Hosoya index. Taking

[1] H. Hosoya, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 44, 2332 (1971).

[3] I. Gutman and O. E. Polansky, Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1986

[4] For definition and basic properties of matchings and matching polynomial see: I. Gutman, Match (Mülheim) 6,75 (1979).

[5] H. Hosoya, Theor. Chim. Acta 25, 215 (1972).

I. Gutman and J. Cioslowski · Bounds for the Hosoya Index

into account (17) and (18) we arrive at the first inequality in (2).

It remains to prove that Z(1) is not greater than $(1 + m/p)^p$. This can be done by considering Z(1), namely

$$Z(1) = [1 + m/p + (p-1)^{1/2} T]$$

$$\cdot [1 + m/p - (p-1)^{-1/2} T]^{p-1}$$
 (19)

as a function of T. Setting formally T = 0, the r.h.s. of (19) reduces to $(1 + m/p)^p$. On the other hand,

$$\frac{\partial \ln Z(1)}{\partial T} = (p-1)^{1/2} [1 + m/p + (p-1)^{1/2} T]^{-1} - (p-1)^{1/2} [1 + m/p - (p-1)^{-1/2} T]^{-1}$$

which is clearly negative for T > 0. Therefore, whenever T > 0, Z(1) is smaller than $(1 + m/p)^p$.

This completes the proof of the inequalities (2).

- [6] H. Hosoya, K. Kawasaki, and K. Mizutani, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 45, 3415 (1972).
- [7] H. Narumi and H. Hosoya, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 53, 1228 (1980).
- [8] H. Narumi and H. Hosoya, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 58, 1778 (1985).
- [9] H. Hosoya and A. Motoyama, J. Math. Phys. **26**, 157 (1985).
- [10] H. Hosoya, Computers & Math. 12 B, 271 (1986).
- [11] I. Gutman, Z. Phys. Chem. Leipzig 267, 1152 (1986).
- [12] J. Cioslowski and I. Gutman, Z. Naturforsch. 41 a, 861
- [13] I. Gutman, Croat. Chem. Acta 46, 209 (1974).

^[2] For review of topological indices see: A. T. Balaban, I. Motoc, D. Bonchev, and O. Mekenyan, Topics Curr. Chem. 114, 21 (1983). For a detailed account of the properties of Hosoya's topological index see pp. 127-134 of the book [3].